FEDERAL ORGANIZATION OF LEGAL FUNCTIONS. (CONFLICT OVER CONTROL OF LITIGATION IN FEDERAL DEPTS.) Carl Brent Swisher. 33 Am. Pol. Sci. R. 973 (Dec.).

FREE LEGAL AID SERVICE. State Dept. of Social Security, Olympia, Washington. Bulletin L-A-1.

FRONTIERS OF LEGAL AID WORK. The Annals. Sept. 1939.

LEGAL AID SURVEY IN STATE OF WASHINGTON. Lane Summers. 25 A.B.A. Jrnl. 750 (Sept.).

LEGAL AID WORK AND THE ORGANIZED BAR. John S. Bradway. Durham, National Association of Legal Aid Organizations, 1939.

LOUISIANA STATE LAW INSTITUTE. 2 La. L.R. 156 (Dec.).

THE LAW IS THE BUNK. Fred Rodell. Forum. Sept. 1939.

MORE ABOUT THE NEIGHBORHOOD LAW OFFICE. The Shingle, Nov. 1939.

N. Y. JUDICIAL DISTRICT LIBRARIES. A. M. Ryan. 32 Law Lib. Jrnl. 308 (Sept.).

THE TRAFFIC COURT SYSTEM IN LA CROSSE. Gordon H. Feinberg. The Municipality. Sept. 1939.

UP-TO-THE-MINUTE RECORDS IN THE SUPPORT DOCKET HELP PUBLIC AND COURTS. C. R. Ettinger. 54 Am. City 59 (Dec.).

Classified Index to Volume 23

June, 1939 to April, 1940

A Cumulative Index to the first twenty volumes is obtainable on request.
An attractive binder holding several volumes costs $1.00.

Authors

Atkinson, Thomas E.—Organization of probate courts and qualifications of probate judges, 23:93—Old principles and new ideas concerning probate court proc, 23:177—Wanted—a model probate code, 23:183.

Bond, Carroll T., C. J.—quoted on main purpose of opinions, 23:31.

Cockrell, Ewing—"Successful Justice," a book review, 23:62

Goldman, Mayer C.—Economies effected by public defender plan, 23:163

Hutcheson, Jos. C., Jr., J.—Glorious Uncertainty of Our Lady of the Law, 23:73.

Jackson, Robert H.—Progress in Fed. jud. adm., 23:60.

Kennedy, Lloyd W.—Local politics vs. prosecuting atty., 23:180

Kennedy, Lloyd W.—Poor Man's Court of Justice, 23:221

Kingdon, Arthur F.—The New Rules are inadequate, 23:133—True verdicts?, 23:190

Kingdon, Arthur F.—Trial Justice System of Virginia, 23:216

Lobengier, Charles Sumner—Trial authority in admin. proc., 23:112

McGuire, O. R.—Uniform rules of adm. trial proc., 23:21—Opposition to adm. law bill, 23:143.

Otis, Merrill E., J.—Business of U. S. district cts., 23:148

Palmer, Wm. J., J.—Standard jury instructions succeed, 23:177

Parke, Francis Neal, J.—A practical check on appointment of judges, 23:28

Parker, John J., J.—Schools of jurisprudence in federal system (circuit jud. conferences), 23:5

Pound, Roscoe—Functions and prospects of judicial councils, 23:53

Pound, Roscoe, Principles and Outline of Modern Unified Court Organization, 23:225

Riddell, Wm. R., J.—Crim. law adm. in Ontario, 23:67—Contingent fees in Ontario, 23:156

Robinson, Prof. James J.—Book review, Orfield on Crim. Appeal, 23:82—Ind. magistrates' court act, 23:82

Seasongood, Murray—Causes for need of legal aid should be attacked, 23:16

Sunderland, Edson R.—Ariz. new rules of civil proc., 23:215

Sweeney, George C., J.—Expert use of pretrial docket in Boston federal ct., 23:11

Vanderbilt, A. T.—Need for traffic court study, 23:144

Waite, Prof. John B.—Ariz. code of crim. proc., 23:175

Woodbridge, Frederick—Wage Earners' Receiverships, 23:242

Young, John C.—Integ. of state judiciary, 23:233

The Bar

Arkansas integration plan, 23:42

Alabama—progress record, 23:44

California bar's legislation system, 23:200—Bar dues paid for two years by saving on book cost, 23:207—Bar's publicity methods, 23:208

Colorado bar virtually integrated, 23:164

Connecticut bar's public relations, 23:207

Contingent fees in Ontario—by Justice W. R. Riddell, 23:156

Dallas' bar's loan shark fight, 23:167

Illinois Bar Assoc. register, 23:166

Indiana Bar progress, 23:205

Integration—Arkansas plan, 23:42—National Lawyers Guild favorable report, 23:43—Ohio's campaign (1939), 23:88—Okla. Bar act repealed, 23:40—Intg. bars include more than half of state bar membership, 23:42—Philadelphia plan for local integ., 23:126—Integ. progress, with map (1939), 23:161—Wyoming—text of bar act, 23:41

Missouri bar fights loan sharks, 23:46

National Lawyers Guild—report on integration, 23:43

Nebraska bar prepared for progress, 23:165

Ohio—integ. campaign report, 23:88, 162

FEDERAL ORGANIZATION OF LEGAL FUNCTIONS. (CONFLICT OVER CONTROL OF LITIGATION IN FEDERAL DEPTS.) Carl Brent Swisher. 33 Am. Pol. Sci. R. 973 (Dec.).

FREE LEGAL AID SERVICE. State Dept. of Social Security, Olympia, Washington. Bulletin L-A-1.

FRONTIERS OF LEGAL AID WORK. The Annals. Sept. 1939.

LEGAL AID SURVEY IN STATE OF WASHINGTON. Lane Summers. 25 A.B.A. Jrnl. 750 (Sept.).

LEGAL AID WORK AND THE ORGANIZED BAR. John S. Bradway. Durham, National Association of Legal Aid Organizations, 1939.

LOUISIANA STATE LAW INSTITUTE. 2 La. L.R. 156 (Dec.).

THE LAW IS THE BUNK. Fred Rodell. Forum. Sept. 1939.

MORE ABOUT THE NEIGHBORHOOD LAW OFFICE. The Shingle, Nov. 1939.

N. Y. JUDICIAL DISTRICT LIBRARIES. A. M. Ryan. 32 Law Lib. Jrnl. 308 (Sept.).

THE TRAFFIC COURT SYSTEM IN LA CROSSE. Gordon H. Feinberg. The Municipality. Sept. 1939.

UP-TO-THE-MINUTE RECORDS IN THE SUPPORT DOCKET HELP PUBLIC AND COURTS. C. R. Ettinger. 54 Am. City 59 (Dec.).

Classified Index to Volume 23

June, 1939 to April, 1940

A Cumulative Index to the first twenty volumes is obtainable on request.
An attractive binder holding several volumes costs $1.00.

Authors

Atkinson, Thomas E.—Organization of probate courts and qualifications of probate judges, 23:93—Old principles and new ideas concerning probate court proc, 23:177—Wanted—a model probate code, 23:183.

Bond, Carroll T., C. J.—quoted on main purpose of opinions, 23:31.

Cockrell, Ewing—"Successful Justice," a book review, 23:62

Goldman, Mayer C.—Economies effected by public defender plan, 23:163

Hutcheson, Jos. C., Jr., J.—Glorious Uncertainty of Our Lady of the Law, 23:73.

Jackson, Robert H.—Progress in Fed. jud. adm., 23:60.

Kennedy, Lloyd W.—Local politics vs. prosecuting atty., 23:180

Kennedy, Lloyd W.—Poor Man's Court of Justice, 23:221

Kingdon, Arthur F.—The New Rules are inadequate, 23:133—True verdicts?, 23:190

Kingdon, Arthur F.—Trial Justice System of Virginia, 23:216

Lobengier, Charles Sumner—Trial authority in admin. proc., 23:112

McGuire, O. R.—Uniform rules of adm. trial proc., 23:21—Opposition to adm. law bill, 23:143.

Otis, Merrill E., J.—Business of U. S. district cts., 23:148

Palmer, Wm. J., J.—Standard jury instructions succeed, 23:177

Parke, Francis Neal, J.—A practical check on appointment of judges, 23:28

Parker, John J., J.—Schools of jurisprudence in federal system (circuit jud. conferences), 23:5

Pound, Roscoe—Functions and prospects of judicial councils, 23:53

Pound, Roscoe, Principles and Outline of Modern Unified Court Organization, 23:225

Riddell, Wm. R., J.—Crim. law adm. in Ontario, 23:67—Contingent fees in Ontario, 23:156

Robinson, Prof. James J.—Book review, Orfield on Crim. Appeal, 23:82—Ind. magistrates' court act, 23:82

Seasongood, Murray—Causes for need of legal aid should be attacked, 23:16

Sunderland, Edson R.—Ariz. new rules of civil proc., 23:215

Sweeney, George C., J.—Expert use of pretrial docket in Boston federal ct., 23:11

Vanderbilt, A. T.—Need for traffic court study, 23:144

Waite, Prof. John B.—Ariz. code of crim. proc., 23:175

Woodbridge, Frederick—Wage Earners' Receiverships, 23:242

Young, John C.—Integ. of state judiciary, 23:233

The Bar

Arkansas integration plan, 23:42

Alabama—progress record, 23:44

California bar's legislation system, 23:200—Bar dues paid for two years by saving on book cost, 23:207—Bar's publicity methods, 23:208

Colorado bar virtually integrated, 23:164

Connecticut bar's public relations, 23:207

Contingent fees in Ontario—by Justice W. R. Riddell, 23:156

Dallas' bar's loan shark fight, 23:167

Illinois Bar Assoc. register, 23:166

Indiana Bar progress, 23:205

Integration—Arkansas plan, 23:42—National Lawyers Guild favorable report, 23:43—Ohio's campaign (1939), 23:88—Okla. Bar act repealed, 23:40—Intg. bars include more than half of state bar membership, 23:42—Philadelphia plan for local integ., 23:126—Integ. progress, with map (1939), 23:161—Wyoming—text of bar act, 23:41

Missouri bar fights loan sharks, 23:46

National Lawyers Guild—report on integration, 23:43

Nebraska bar prepared for progress, 23:165

Ohio—integ. campaign report, 23:88, 162

Oklahoma bar act repealed, 23:40—supreme ct. asserts power over bar, 23:86—bar reorganized under court rules, 23:203
Philadelphia—plan for local integration, 23:126
Public relations—results of Am. Bar Assoc. survey, 23:110
Texas bar drafts integ. rules (1939), 23:163
Wisconsin bar bill defeated, 23:165
Wyoming, text of bar act, 23:40

Civil Procedure

Appellate courts—pretrial hearings, 23:160
Arizona leads in rule-making, 23:174—Text of act delegating rule-making power, 23:123
Ariz. new rules effect conformity, by E. R. Sunderland, 23:215
Detroit circuit court—four years of pretrial (statistics from Mich. Jud. Coun. Rep.), 23:159
Colorado bar drafts civil rules, 23:19
Colorado rule-making, 23:19
Federal rules stimulate state reforms in Colo., Md., S. D., and Tex., 23:18
Indiana rule-making, 23.202
Instructions—standardized forms in Calif., 23:177
Judicial rule-making vs. chaos, 23:200
Jury—standard instructions succeed, 23:177
Jury trial as melodrama, 23:198
Jury trial—"True Verdicts?" by Arthur F. Kingdon, 23:190
Maryland rule-making act, 23:18
Missouri rule-making, 23:65
Nebraska rule-making, 23:165
New Mexico rule-making, 23:202
Opinions—main purpose is to satisfy litigants, 23:31
Poor man's court, by Lloyd W. Kennedy, 23:221
Pretrial needed by commercial law practitioners, 23:240
Pretrial rules adopted in four states, 23:237
Pretrial procedure—for appellate courts, 23:160—challenges lawyers' influence, 23:69—Federal courts, by George C. Sweeney, J., 23:11—Detroit's experience (1935-1938), 23:159—Los Angeles experiment, 23:71—Massachusetts experience, 23:14—Pittsburgh court's success, 23:15—Pittsburgh lawyers approve 23:72—Sustained by sup. cts. in Mich. and Mass., 23:157—Adopted in Tulsa, Okla., 23:123
Pittsburgh—pretrial succeeds, 23:15—lawyers approve, 23:72
Rule-making—Arizona act (text), 23:123—Involves continuous study, 23:91—states interested, Colo., Ind., Nebr., Mass., Md., Mo., Pa., S. D., Tex., Wash., Wis., 23:65—Report on progress—Ariz. takes lead, 23:174—Ariz. code of crim. proc., 23:175—Ind., Md., N. M., and Tenn., 23:202
Probate courts and proc.—by Prof. Thomas E. Atkinson—organization, qualifications of judges, 23:93—old principles and new ideas, 23:137—wanted—a model probate code, 23:183
South Dakota—new civil code of proc., 23:20
Stare decisis—progress in states of doctrine approved by U. S. sup. ct. in Great Northern R'y v. Sunburst Co. (1932)
Texas rule-making power, enacted, 23:19
Tulsa (Okla.) court adopts pretrial hearings, 23:123
Virginia trial justice system, by Arthur F. Kingdon, 23:216
Wage earners' receiverships, by Frederick Woodbridge, 23:242

Conciliation and Small Claims Courts

Baltimore Peoples court reformed, 23:84
Maryland magistrate system reformed, 23:84
Ohio plan for minor tribunals, 23:86
North Carolina—substitute for justices, 23:85
Washington, D. C.—conciliation and small claims course makes phenomenal record, 23:155

Court Organization

Baltimore People's court reformed, 23:84
Detroit's unified crim. ct. makes history, 23:24
Indiana magistrates court act—By Prof. J. J. Robinson, 23:82
Integration of state judiciary—by John C. Young, J., 23:233
Judicial Conferences unify federal system—by John J. Parker, J., 23:5
Maryland: reform of justice courts and Baltimore People's court, 23:84
Mass. district court reform proposed, 23:239
Principles and outline of modern unified court organization—by Roscoe Pound, 23:225
Probate court organization—by Prof. Thos. E. Atkinson, 23:93
St. Louis circuit court benefits by work of Clerk H. Sam Priest, 23:36
Traffic courts—need for study—By A. T. Vanderbilt, 23:144
Unification of state courts, 23:172
Unified Court pattern emerges, 23:212
Virginia trial justice system—by Arthur F. Kingdon, 23:216

Courts in Large Cities

Chicago—Circuit court improves adm., 23:34
Detroit's unified criminal court makes history, 23:24
Baltimore People court reformed, 23:84
Washington, D. C.—conciliation and small claims court's phenomenal record, 23:155

Criminal Procedure

Arizona crim. proc. code—by Prof. John B. Waite, 23:175
Indiana magistrates act—by Prof. J. J. Robinson, 23:82
Grand jury encumbers justice, 23:25
Ontario—crim. law adm.—by W. R. Riddell, J., 23:67
Orfield on Crim. Appeals—by Prof. J. J. Robison. 23:153
Politics vs. Prosecutor—by Lloyd W. Kennedy, 23:180
Poor man's court of justice—by Lloyd W. Kennedy, 23:221
Prosecutor's full duty, 23:238
Public defender—economies effected—by Mayer C. Goldman, 23:63
Rule-making in crim. field in Arizona—by John B. Waite, 23:175
Virginia trial justice system—by Arthur F. Kingdon, 23:216

Federal Courts and Procedure

Administrative act (Ashurst bill) enacted, 23:51
Advisory rules committee preserved, 23:171
Conferences in circuits—by John J. Parker, J., 23:5
Conference of senior circuit judges orders reports on delayed cases, 23:171

Business of U. S. Dist. cts.—by Merrill E. Otis, J., 23:148
Federal rules inadequate—by Arthur F. Kingdon, 23:133
Judicial conferences in fed. circuits—by John J. Parker, J., 23:5
Pretrial in Boston dist. ct.—by George C. Sweeney, J., 23:11
Progress in jud. adm.—by Robert H. Jackson, 23:60
Washington, D. C.—conciliation and small claims court makes record, 23:155

Judicial Councils

Functions and prospects—by Roscoe Pound, 23:53
Review of jud. councils reports, 23:99

Judicial Selection and Tenure

Appointment—a practical check—by Francis Neale Parke, J., 23:28—comment on eligible list for app.—by John H. Wigmore, 23:98
Michigan adopts non-partisan election, 23:37
Missouri bar program, 23:205
Non-partisan election adopted in Mich., 23:37
Probate judges' qualifications—by Prof. Thomas E. Atkinson, 23:93
Promotion of judges to vacancies, 23:39

Legal Education and Admission

New Jersey studies quota system, 23:48
Wisconsin raises admission requirements, 23:88

Miscellaneous

Administrative law—opposition to ABA bill, 23:143—Trial authority in adm. proc.—by Charles Sumner Lobengier, 23:112—Uniform rules of trial proc., by O. R. McGuire, 23:21
Am. Jud. Society annual meeting (1939), 23:3
Books reviewed—Ewing Cockrell's "Successful Justice," 23:62—Orfield on Crim. Appeals, by Prof. J. J. Robison, 23:153
Current literature guide, 23:284
English judges, salaries, 23:282
Law book problem in small cities, 23:46
Legal aid—causes for need should be attacked, by Murray Seasongood, 23:16—Washington state legislation, 23:128
Loan sharks fought by Dallas bar, 23:167—by Missouri bar, 23:46
Opinions—chief purpose to satisfy litigants—Carroll T. Bond, C. J. quoted, 23:31
Slogan for jud. reform, 23:211

Concerning Binders and Back Numbers

BINDERS—A very good cloth-bound binder, with gold lettering on the back, is supplied by the Judicature Society, postage prepaid, for One Dollar. It will hold at least four volumes of the JOURNAL.

BACK NUMBERS—Previous issues of the JOURNAL, from Vol 1, No. 1 to date are available. The charge for most numbers is ten cents. A few rare numbers are priced higher.

INDEX—A cumulative index to the first twenty volumes of the JOURNAL is now available on request. The names of 237 authors are listed, followed by nearly 2,000 references. Complete files should be available in all important public and law libraries.